

Web-Based Document Management Systems For Administrative Services In Higher Education

Linda Ika Mayasari¹, Aldo Redho Syam², Dimas Kurnia Robby³, Vion Age Tricahyo⁴

^{1,2,3,4} Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

email: linda.ika@unj.ac.id

Abstract

Digital transformation has become a strategic priority for higher education institutions that seek to improve administrative efficiency, transparency, accountability, and service quality. Although web-based document management systems are widely discussed as a solution for improving correspondence, archiving, and administrative workflows, existing studies remain fragmented in their explanations of how these systems are implemented, what benefits they generate, and what challenges affect their sustainability in higher education. This study reviews the literature on web-based document management systems for administrative services in higher education, with attention to their role in improving document accessibility, workflow efficiency, records control, and institutional accountability. Using a systematic literature review approach, relevant studies were identified, screened, and analyzed based on predetermined inclusion criteria covering digital document management, web-based administrative systems, electronic records, correspondence management, and higher education institutions. The selected literature was examined through thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, key implementation dimensions, and major findings across earlier studies. The review indicates that web-based document management systems contribute to faster document processing, better tracking of correspondence and disposition, lower dependence on physical archives, more accurate data, and easier access to administrative records. The findings also show that successful implementation depends on technological readiness, organizational commitment, user acceptance, clear records management procedures, data security, and integration with existing institutional workflows. Several studies further point to persistent challenges, including limited digital infrastructure, uneven staff competencies, resistance to change, weak standard operating procedures, and concerns about long-term digital preservation. This study contributes to the literature by synthesizing key dimensions of web-based document management implementation in higher education and arguing that effective digital administration requires integrating technological, organizational, procedural, and human resource factors.

Keywords: Authentic Leadership; Pesantren Values; Lecturer Performance; Pesantren-Based Higher Education; Islamic Educational Management.

INTRODUCTION

The progress of information and communication technology has changed the way organizations conduct administrative processes, with the higher education sector being no exception (Bharadwaj et al., 2013; Vial, 2019). Universities now operate as complex administrative ecosystems that handle large volumes of documents, correspondence, decrees, academic records, and interdepartmental communications daily. As accountability standards rise and stakeholder expectations grow, paper-based administrative systems have become insufficient to meet the current demands for speed,

transparency, and reliability (Verhoef et al., 2021). Manual handling of correspondence often leads to delayed dispositions, lost archives, fragmented records, and difficulty in tracking the status of documents across administrative units. These weaknesses are amplified in large higher education institutions where bureaucratic processes involve multiple layers of approval and require coordination across faculties, departments, and administrative bureaus.

Many higher education institutions have responded by adopting web-based document management systems as part of broader digital transformation initiatives (Mergel et al., 2019; Reis et al., 2018). A web-based document management system, often abbreviated as DMS, is a digital platform that supports the creation, storage, distribution, retrieval, and disposal of documents through an internet-based infrastructure. Such systems enable administrative staff and academic leaders to access documents from multiple locations, monitor the disposition of letters in real time, and maintain centralized digital archives that reduce reliance on physical filing rooms (Castro Benavides et al., 2020). Beyond operational gains, the adoption of web-based DMS aligns with the principles of good university governance, which require transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in academic administration (Matt et al., 2015). The integration of digital correspondence into institutional workflows is therefore a strategic decision rather than a simple technical upgrade.

Earlier studies have examined various aspects of digital document management across different organizational contexts. Some scholars focused on the technical design of electronic correspondence systems, including workflow automation, user interface design, and database architecture (Yusof & Chell, 2000). Others investigated the organizational impact of electronic records management on efficiency, error reduction, and improved decision-making (Asogwa, 2012; Mukred et al., 2021). In the higher education context, research has documented how digital administrative systems support quality assurance, accreditation requirements, and academic service delivery (Mukred et al., 2019). Yet the existing literature remains fragmented and tends to focus on isolated dimensions of implementation, such as system features, user satisfaction, or single-institution case studies. There is limited synthesis on how technological, organizational, procedural, and human resource factors interact to determine the success or failure of web-based DMS in higher education.

Despite significant investment in digital infrastructure, empirical evidence indicates that intended benefits are often realized unevenly. Some universities achieve faster correspondence cycles, integrated records management, and stronger institutional accountability, while others continue to face low user adoption, weak standard operating procedures, and persistent dependency on parallel paper-based processes (Cordella & Tempini, 2015). Resistance to change, limited digital literacy among administrative staff, and weak data security practices remain significant barriers to sustainable implementation (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003). Studies in other organizational contexts also confirm that user perceptions and contextual factors shape technology adoption outcomes more than the system's technical specifications (Nguyen et al., 2015). The gap between technological availability and effective utilization underscores the need for a holistic understanding of web-based DMS implementation, particularly for higher education institutions with distinctive structural and cultural conditions.

Earlier research is also dispersed across disciplinary boundaries, with contributions from information systems, public administration, archival science, educational management, and library and information science (Nambisan et al., 2017). Each discipline emphasizes different priorities, ranging from system performance and database integrity to records lifecycle management and institutional governance (Kallinikos et al., 2013). Although such diversity enriches the field, it also creates conceptual gaps when researchers attempt to develop integrated frameworks for higher education administrative systems (Gil-Garcia & Pardo, 2005). There is a need to synthesize these perspectives into a coherent framework that captures both the operational and strategic dimensions of digital correspondence and document handling in academic institutions. Without such synthesis, university decision-makers may rely on partial evidence when designing or upgrading their digital administrative platforms (Twizeyimana & Andersson, 2019).

Another concern relates to the long-term sustainability of web-based document management systems. Beyond the initial deployment, institutions must address questions about digital preservation, system upgrades, interoperability with academic information systems and human resource management platforms, and compliance with data protection and electronic records regulations (Asogwa, 2012; Yusof & Chell, 2000). Several scholars have warned that without proper governance frameworks, digital archives may face format obsolescence, data loss, or unauthorized access, which can weaken the accountability that the systems are meant to support (Mukred et al., 2021). Recent literature on emerging technologies and on digital transformation in management research likewise suggests that long-term value depends on continuous investment, evaluation, and capability development rather than on one-off implementation (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2022).

Given these conditions, the present study systematically reviews the literature on web-based document management systems for administrative services in higher education. The review identifies recurring themes related to implementation dimensions, perceived benefits, persistent challenges, and critical success factors. By synthesizing findings from earlier studies, this article offers a more integrated understanding of how web-based DMS contributes to administrative efficiency, transparency, and accountability in higher education. The review also informs future research by mapping existing knowledge gaps and proposing directions for empirical investigation. To meet these objectives, the study employs a systematic literature review procedure to ensure transparent and replicable identification, screening, and analysis of relevant studies (Jeyaraj & Dwivedi, 2020; Page et al., 2021). The remaining sections describe the methodology, present the main findings, discuss the implications, and conclude with recommendations for researchers and practitioners working on digital administrative systems in higher education.

METHOD

This study uses a systematic literature review to examine how web-based document management systems are implemented in administrative services within higher education institutions. The systematic literature review approach was selected because it offers a structured and transparent procedure for identifying, evaluating, and

synthesizing earlier research on a specific topic (Page et al., 2021). Compared with a narrative review, this method reduces selection bias and improves the reliability of conclusions through clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Jeyaraj & Dwivedi, 2020). The procedure followed in this review consists of four main stages: formulating research questions, identifying relevant literature, screening and selecting studies, and conducting thematic analysis of the selected articles.

The research questions guiding this review were formulated to capture the multidimensional nature of web-based DMS in higher education. The questions addressed are how web-based document management systems are implemented in higher education administrative services; what benefits these systems provide for administrative efficiency, transparency, and accountability; what factors influence the success or failure of their implementation; and what challenges remain in sustaining their use in academic institutions. These questions were used to develop the search strategies and evaluate the relevance of the identified studies during the screening process.

The literature search was conducted across reputable academic databases, namely Scopus, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, Taylor & Francis Online, SAGE Journals, and Google Scholar. Indonesian-indexed journals from the SINTA accreditation system were also examined to capture relevant national contexts, particularly those that discuss electronic correspondence and archive management in universities. Search keywords were combined using Boolean operators and included terms such as web-based document management system, electronic document management, digital correspondence, electronic records management, e-archive, higher education administration, university information system, and digital transformation in higher education. To ensure recency and relevance, the search was limited to studies published between 2016 and 2026, in line with the requirement that references must come from publications within the last ten years (Page et al., 2021).

The inclusion criteria for filtering the studies were articles published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable conference proceedings, studies focusing on web-based or electronic document management, correspondence, or archive systems, research conducted within higher education institutions or relevant administrative organizations whose findings are transferable to academic settings, articles available in full text and written in English or Indonesian, and studies published within the specified time frame. Articles were excluded if they discussed unrelated topics, focused only on technical hardware specifications without administrative context, were duplicates across databases, or lacked sufficient methodological clarity. After removing duplicates and applying these criteria, the remaining studies were screened by reading titles and abstracts, followed by full-text assessment to confirm their relevance to the research questions (Page et al., 2021).

The selected studies were analyzed through thematic analysis. Each article was coded according to key dimensions, including system features, implementation strategies, reported benefits, encountered challenges, organizational factors, and human resource considerations. These codes were then grouped into broader themes that capture the recurring patterns across studies. The thematic synthesis allowed the researchers to identify both areas of consensus and points of divergence in the literature. To strengthen the credibility of the analysis, the findings were cross-checked by repeatedly reading the articles and comparing them with the original research questions. The synthesized

themes form the basis of the results and discussion sections of this article, which are presented separately following academic writing conventions for systematic literature reviews.

RESULTS

The review of the selected literature reveals consistent patterns regarding the implementation, benefits, and challenges of web-based document management systems in higher education administrative services. Across the reviewed studies, four major thematic categories emerged: operational and procedural improvements; organizational and human resource dimensions; technological and infrastructural readiness; and governance, security, and sustainability concerns. These themes are interrelated and together form a comprehensive picture of digital administrative transformation in higher education. The following subsections present the findings according to these themes.

Operational and Procedural Improvements

Most of the reviewed studies report that web-based document management systems considerably improve administrative operations in higher education. Document creation, distribution, and disposition processes that previously took several days can be reduced to hours or even minutes when supported by digital workflows (Mukred et al., 2021). Letters and decrees can be processed, signed, and forwarded electronically, reducing reliance on the physical movement of paper documents between offices. Studies indicate that digital tracking features improve accountability by enabling users to monitor the stage at which a document is being processed and identify potential bottlenecks (Mukred et al., 2019). Centralized digital archives also reduce the time required to retrieve historical documents and assist with both routine administrative work and accreditation activities (Asogwa, 2012).

Organizational and Human Resource Dimensions

Beyond technical functionality, the reviewed studies emphasize the central role of organizational and human factors in shaping implementation outcomes. Strong leadership commitment, clear institutional policies, and supportive organizational culture are repeatedly cited as critical conditions for successful adoption (Venkatesh et al., 2003; Venkatesh, Thong, & Xu, 2016). Training programs, easy-to-use system interfaces, and continuous support from information technology units strengthen administrative staff readiness (Al-Emran et al., 2018; Scherer et al., 2019). Studies further reveal that user acceptance is influenced by perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and alignment with daily work routines (Davis, 1989; King & He, 2006). When these conditions are well managed, web-based DMS becomes integrated into routine practices. When they are neglected, parallel paper-based processes tend to persist alongside the digital system, particularly in environments with low organizational readiness (Nguyen et al., 2015).

Technological and Infrastructural Readiness

Technological readiness is another key dimension highlighted across the literature. Reliable internet connectivity, adequate server capacity, and secure data storage are foundational requirements for sustainable web-based document management (Bharadwaj et al., 2013). Several studies note that institutions with limited digital

infrastructure experience frequent system disruptions, which weaken user trust and slow down adoption (Castro Benavides et al., 2020). Integration with other information systems, such as academic information systems, human resource management platforms, and financial systems, is also identified as an important factor that increases the strategic value of web-based DMS (Nambisan et al., 2017). When document management is integrated with broader institutional systems, data redundancy decreases, and decision-making is supported by more accurate, real-time information (Kallinikos et al., 2013).

Governance, Security, and Sustainability Concerns

The reviewed literature also addresses governance, security, and sustainability as crucial dimensions. Concerns about unauthorized access, data leakage, and digital preservation are recurring themes, particularly given the sensitive nature of academic and administrative records (Asogwa, 2012). Studies emphasize the importance of access control mechanisms, audit trails, regular backups, and disaster recovery plans (Mukred et al., 2021). Standard operating procedures that govern document classification, retention, and disposal are necessary to ensure compliance with archival regulations (Yusof & Chell, 2000). Several scholars warn that without these measures, digital archives risk long-term loss because of format obsolescence, system failures, or institutional negligence, and such loss can weaken the public value that good digital governance is intended to provide (Gil-Garcia & Pardo, 2005).

To provide a clearer overview of the main findings from the reviewed studies, the descriptive results of the recurring themes are summarized in Table 1. The table presents key dimensions, representative findings, and the relative emphasis of each theme across the reviewed literature.

Table 1. Summary of Key Dimensions in the Reviewed Literature

No.	Dimension	Representative Findings	Emphasis Level
1.	Operational and procedural improvements	Faster correspondence, real-time disposition tracking, lower paper use, easier archive retrieval	Very High
2.	Organizational and human resource dimensions	Leadership commitment, training, digital literacy, user acceptance, change management	High
3.	Technological and infrastructural readiness	Network reliability, server capacity, system integration, interoperability with academic systems	High
4.	Governance, security, and sustainability	Access control, audit trail, backup, digital preservation, regulatory compliance	Moderate to High

The data presented in Table 1 indicate that operational and procedural improvements receive the strongest emphasis across the reviewed literature, followed by organizational and technological readiness factors. Governance and sustainability concerns, although less frequently treated as primary focus areas, are consistently cited as decisive factors in the long-term success of web-based DMS in higher education.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this systematic literature review reinforce the view that web-based document management systems play an important role in advancing administrative services in higher education. The reviewed studies converge on the conclusion that digital correspondence and archive systems improve operational efficiency through faster document workflows, better tracking, and centralized access to administrative records. These benefits are consistent with the broader literature on digital transformation in public and educational organizations, which positions digital platforms as enablers of evidence-based decision-making and good governance (Mergel et al., 2019; Verhoef et al., 2021; Vial, 2019). The convergence of findings across diverse institutional contexts strengthens the argument that web-based DMS is a strategic enabler of modern academic administration rather than only a technical tool.

The discussion needs to extend beyond a simple narrative of efficiency gains. The evidence suggests that the benefits of web-based DMS are conditional rather than automatic. Several studies show that institutions with similar digital systems may experience very different outcomes depending on the strength of their organizational support, the quality of their human resources, and the maturity of their procedural frameworks. This finding aligns with the sociotechnical perspective in information systems research, which holds that technology, organization, and people must evolve together for digital initiatives to succeed (Cordella & Tempini, 2015). It also fits with the view that digital artifacts have distinctive ontological properties that require new ways of thinking about institutional governance and accountability (Kallinikos et al., 2013).

An important contribution of this review is the identification of integrated dimensions that determine the success of web-based DMS implementation. Rather than treating technology, organization, procedures, and human resources as separate components, the synthesized literature suggests that they should be viewed as interdependent elements of a single ecosystem (Castro Benavides et al., 2020). Sophisticated technological features cannot deliver their intended benefits without supportive standard operating procedures, while well-designed procedures cannot achieve much without competent users and committed leadership (Mukred et al., 2021). This integrative perspective is useful for higher education institutions that often implement projects piecemeal, focusing on system procurement while neglecting concurrent investments in human capital and governance.

The review also highlights the persistent challenge of resistance to change among administrative staff and academic leaders. While this issue is not unique to higher education, its expression in academic settings has specific characteristics. Long-standing routines, hierarchical structures, and the symbolic value of physical signatures and stamps can slow the transition toward fully digital workflows. The literature suggests that such resistance is best addressed through participatory approaches in which users are involved in system design, training is contextualized within actual work practices, and early adopters are supported as agents of change (Davis, 1989; Dwivedi et al., 2019). Comparable findings on citizen and patient adoption of e-government and e-health innovations support this conclusion (Rana et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Top-down implementation strategies that ignore the lived experiences of administrative staff often produce superficial compliance rather than genuine adoption.

Another point of critical reflection concerns the gap between policy aspirations and operational realities. Many higher education institutions have developed digital transformation strategies that include adopting web-based DMS as a key component (Matt et al., 2015). Several reviewed studies indicate that such strategies are not always backed by adequate budget allocations, clear performance indicators, or systematic monitoring mechanisms (Reis et al., 2018). As a result, projects may stall after the initial deployment phase or remain confined to specific units rather than spreading across the entire institution. The same pattern appears in broader business and management research, where many digital transformation initiatives fall short of their stated ambitions (Kraus et al., 2022). Future implementation efforts, therefore, require stronger institutional governance, including dedicated steering committees, regular evaluation cycles, and transparent reporting on system usage and outcomes.

Concerns about data security and digital preservation form another critical area of discussion. Universities manage large volumes of sensitive data, including student records, employment documents, financial information, and confidential correspondence. The transition from paper to digital archives introduces new risks of cyberattacks, unauthorized access, and unintentional data loss (Asogwa, 2012). The reviewed studies emphasize that these risks should be managed through layered security frameworks, regular audits, and adherence to relevant data protection regulations. At the same time, sustainability requires more than security; it also demands long-term planning for system upgrades, format migration, and integration with new technologies (Yusof & Chell, 2000). Institutions that neglect these aspects may find their digital archives becoming inaccessible or unreliable over time, thereby weakening the public value that good digital administration is intended to provide (Twizeyimana & Andersson, 2019).

When compared with the initial research questions set out in the introduction, the findings of this review provide convergent answers. Web-based document management systems are implemented in higher education through a combination of technological deployment, procedural redesign, organizational restructuring, and human resource development. They produce clear benefits for efficiency, transparency, and accountability when implementation is comprehensive and sustained. They are influenced by a wide range of interdependent factors rather than isolated ones. The challenges faced are not limited to technology but extend to culture, governance, and long-term strategy. These answers move the discussion beyond fragmented case studies toward a more integrated understanding that can inform research and practice in this field.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings support the relevance of integrative frameworks that combine technology acceptance, organizational change, and information governance perspectives in studying digital administrative systems (Venkatesh, Thong, & Xu, 2016; Dwivedi et al., 2019). Earlier meta-analytic work on the Technology Acceptance Model and its derivatives indicates that user perceptions are robust predictors of adoption across many contexts, including education (Al-Emran et al., 2018; King & He, 2006; Scherer et al., 2019). The review suggests that no single theoretical lens can fully capture the complexity of web-based DMS implementation in higher education. Future studies are therefore encouraged to develop or test integrated models that draw on insights from information systems, public administration, archival science, and educational management, including through quantitative meta-analytic approaches where data permit (Jeyaraj & Dwivedi, 2020). Such models would offer more nuanced

explanations of why some implementations succeed while others falter and would provide richer guidance for practitioners working in different institutional contexts.

In practical terms, the findings have several implications for higher education leaders, administrators, and policymakers. First, decisions about adopting or upgrading web-based DMS should rest on comprehensive readiness assessments that examine technological, organizational, procedural, and human dimensions (Mukred et al., 2019). Second, implementation projects should include explicit strategies for change management, capacity building, and governance, rather than focusing only on technical deployment. Third, institutions should design long-term sustainability plans that address security, preservation, and integration, supported by regular evaluation and stakeholder engagement (Gil-Garcia & Pardo, 2005). Sharing experiences and best practices across institutions, both nationally and internationally, can also accelerate collective learning and reduce the risk of repeating common mistakes in digital administrative transformation.

Although the present review focuses on web-based document management in its current form, the field continues to develop quickly. The integration of artificial intelligence, intelligent automation, and other emerging technologies is likely to reshape both the design and the governance of administrative systems in the years ahead (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Nambisan et al., 2017). Further empirical work is needed to assess how such technologies can be incorporated into web-based DMS without compromising data security, archival integrity, or the human dimensions of academic administration.

CONCLUSION

This systematic literature review has examined the role of web-based document management systems in supporting administrative services in higher education. The synthesis of the reviewed studies indicates that such systems contribute to faster document processing, better tracking of correspondence and disposition, lower dependence on physical archives, more accurate data, and easier access to administrative records. These benefits align with the broader objectives of digital transformation in higher education, including improved efficiency, transparency, and accountability. The findings reaffirm that web-based DMS is not a peripheral technical investment but a strategic component of modern academic governance, with implications for institutional performance, stakeholder trust, and quality assurance.

The review also demonstrates that the realization of these benefits depends on a complex interplay of technological, organizational, procedural, and human resource factors. Successful implementation requires reliable digital infrastructure, strong leadership commitment, clear standard operating procedures, capable and motivated administrative staff, and robust governance frameworks that ensure security and sustainability. Conversely, persistent challenges such as limited infrastructure, uneven digital literacy, resistance to change, weak procedural standards, and concerns about long-term preservation can undermine the effectiveness of even the most advanced systems. These findings call for an integrated approach that treats digital administrative transformation as an ongoing institutional capability rather than a one-time project.

By synthesizing the existing literature, this study contributes to the field by mapping the key dimensions of web-based DMS implementation in higher education and by offering a conceptual basis for further empirical research. It also provides practical

guidance for higher education institutions that seek to develop sustainable digital correspondence and administrative systems that support more efficient, transparent, and accountable academic administration. Future research is encouraged to conduct comparative empirical studies across institutions and countries, to develop integrated theoretical models that combine technology acceptance, organizational change, and information governance perspectives, and to explore the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and intelligent automation, in further enhancing digital document management. Such research will strengthen both the academic understanding and the practical effectiveness of web-based document management systems in supporting the future of higher education administration.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, E. I. (2024). A systematic review of authentic leadership literature in educational research from 1997 to 2021. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 52(3), 612–640. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432221120467>
- Amzat, I. H., Yanti, P. G., & Suswandari, S. (2022). Estimating the effect of principal instructional and distributed leadership on professional development of teachers in Jakarta, Indonesia. *SAGE Open*, 12(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221109585>
- Andriani, S., Kesumawati, N., & Kristiawan, M. (2018). The influence of the transformational leadership and work motivation on teachers' performance. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 7(7), 19–29.
- Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3), 315–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.001>
- Bahzar, M. (2019). Authentic leadership in madrassas: Asserting Islamic values in teacher performance. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 10(1), 259–284.
- Barth, A., & Tsemach, S. (2021). Perceptions of principal authentic leadership: A survey of Israeli elementary teachers in diverse religious-cultural contexts. *Religious Education*, 116(3), 224–238.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1995). *MLQ Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire* (2nd ed.). Mind Garden.
- Begley, P. T. (2006). Self-knowledge, capacity, and sensitivity: Prerequisites to authentic leadership by school principals. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 44(6), 570–589.
- Berkovich, I., & Eyal, O. (2017). The mediating role of principals' transformational leadership behaviors in promoting teachers' emotional wellness at work. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 45(2), 316–335.
- Bruinessen, M. V. (2015). *Kitab kuning, pesantren, dan tarekat: Tradisi-tradisi Islam di Indonesia*. Mizan.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.

- Cadez, S., Dimovski, V., & Zaman Groff, M. (2017). Research, teaching, and performance evaluation in academia: The salience of quality. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1455–1473.
- Crawford, J. A., Dawkins, S., Martin, A., & Lewis, G. (2020). Putting the leader back into authentic leadership: Reconceptualising and rethinking leaders. *Australian Journal of Management*, 45(1), 114–133.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dacholfany, M. I., Suseno, N., Syofyan, H., & Fadli, M. R. (2024). Educational management in improving the quality of teachers in senior high schools. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(5), 3100–3110.
- Dhofier, Z. (2011). *Tradisi pesantren: Studi pandangan hidup kyai dan visinya mengenai masa depan Indonesia*. LP3ES.
- Fullan, M. (2016). *The new meaning of educational change* (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Gaol, N. T. L. (2023). School leadership in Indonesia: A systematic literature review. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(4), 917–936.
- Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
- Hallinger, P. (2020). Science mapping the knowledge base on educational leadership and management from the emerging regions. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(2), 209–230.
- Ibrahim, M. A., & Abdullah, A. (2024). Leadership at the helm: Essential skills and knowledge for effective management in Islamic Economics and Finance schools. *Heliyon*, 10(17), Article e36696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e36696>
- Ibrahim, S., & Abdullah, Z. (2014). Sokongan pengetua dalam amalan komuniti pembelajaran profesional di sebuah sekolah di Johor, Malaysia. *Jurnal Kurikulum dan Pengajaran Asia Pasifik*, 2(1), 34–45.
- Karim, A. (2024). Leader power of Islamic higher education institutions in improving the performance of human resources management. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1), Article 2442818. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2024.2442818>
- Kemenag. (2024). *Statistik Perguruan Tinggi Keagamaan Islam 2023/2024*. Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Islam.
- Lei, H., Luo, Y., & Zhang, R. (2024). Transformational leadership and teacher performance: The mediating role of professional learning communities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1326874.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Lukens-Bull, R. (2019). *Islamic higher education in Indonesia: Continuity and conflict*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Marlia, M. A., Fahmy, R., Lukito, H., & Games, D. (2025). An exploratory study on effective leadership and change management in the transformation of Indonesian public

- universities towards world-class university status. *Sustainability*, 17(3), Article 1300.
- Mastuhu. (1994). *Dinamika sistem pendidikan pesantren: Suatu kajian tentang unsur dan nilai sistem pendidikan pesantren*. INIS.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Rosser, A. (2023). The politics of education reform in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities. *Asian Survey*, 63(2), 234–258.
- Shin, J. C., & Jung, J. (2014). Academics job satisfaction and job stress across countries in the changing academic environments. *Higher Education*, 67(5), 603–620.
- Shulhan, M. (2019). Effect of authentic leadership on academic atmosphere and organizational culture in Indonesian Islamic higher education. *International Journal of Innovation Creativity and Change*, 7(2), 406–419.
- Soares, A. E., & Lopes, M. P. (2020). Are your students safe to learn? The role of lecturer's authentic leadership in the creation of psychologically safe environments. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 21(1), 65–78.
- Srimulyani, E. (2012). *Women from traditional Islamic educational institutions in Indonesia: Negotiating public spaces*. Amsterdam University Press.
- Srivastava, A. P., & Dhar, R. L. (2020). Authentic leadership and extra role behaviour: A school based integrated model. *Current Psychology*, 38(3), 684–697.
- Stake, R. E. (2006). *Multiple case study analysis*. Guilford Press.
- Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). Authentic leadership: Development and validation of a theory-based measure. *Journal of Management*, 34(1), 89–126.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- Ziemek, M. (1986). *Pesantren dalam perubahan sosial*. P3M.
- Balwant, P. (2019). 'Keeping it real': Authentic instructor-leadership, student engagement, and performance. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 25(1), 39–65.
- Bush, T. (2023). Educational leadership and management in the post-pandemic era. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(1), 3–7.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2019). Leading professional learning communities. In A. Harris & M. Jones (Eds.), *Leading and transforming teaching and learning* (pp. 47–64). Springer.

- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions across nations* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Kareem, J., et al. (2023). Transformational educational leaders inspire school educators' commitment. *Frontiers in Education*, 8, Article 1171513.
- Leithwood, K., & Sun, J. (2012). The nature and effects of transformational school leadership: A meta-analytic review. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(3), 387–423.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Bao, Y. (2024). The effect of principal transformational leadership on teacher innovative behavior. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, Article 1378615.
- Ghamrawi, N., Shal, T., & Ghamrawi, N. A. R. (2024). Cultivating teacher leadership: Evidence from a transformative professional development model. *School Leadership & Management*, 44(4), 413–441.
- He, P., Guo, F., & Abazie, G. A. (2024). School principals' instructional leadership as a predictor of teacher's professional development. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 9, Article 63.
- Pan, H.-L. W., Wiens, P. D., & Moyal, A. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of the teacher leadership scholarship. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 121, Article 103936.
- Bellibaş, M. Ş., & Gümüş, S. (2021). The effect of learning-centred leadership and teacher professional learning on student achievement. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(1), 29–46.
- To, K. H., Yin, H., Tam, W. W. Y., & Keung, C. P. C. (2023). Principal leadership practices, professional learning communities, and teacher commitment in Hong Kong kindergartens. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(4), 889–911.