

The Relationship Between Revelation and Reason in Islam: An Integrative Framework for Knowledge Construction and Worldview Formation

Nasywa Nidaul Azmi, Universitas Darunnajah
Laila Zaqiyah, Universitas Darunnajah
Hamdan Hasibuan, Universitas Darunnajah
Muzanah, Universitas Darunnajah
Salim Haji, Universitas Darunnajah
Mustakim, Universitas Darunnajah
Muhammad Fahrul, Universitas Darunnajah

nasywanidaazmi@gmail.com (correspondent)

Article Info	Abstract
<p>Article history:</p> <p>Received: August 31, 2025 Revised: January 12, 2026 Accepted: February 31, 2026</p> <hr/> <p>Keywords:</p> <p>Islamic epistemology, Islamic philosophy, Knowledge integration, Revelation and reason, Worldview.</p>	<p>This study examines the integrative relationship between revelation (wahy) and reason ('aql) in Islamic epistemology, with particular emphasis on how their synthesis constructs a coherent worldview and influences Muslim intellectual, social, economic, and spiritual life. Employing a qualitative content analysis approach grounded in library research methodology, this study systematically analyzed primary Islamic sources, the Qur'an and Hadith, alongside classical and contemporary scholarly literature, including works by Ibn Rushd, Ibn Taymiyyah, al-Ghazali, and al-Attas. Thematic analysis was conducted through a three-stage coding process involving open coding, axial coding, and selective coding to identify recurring patterns in the discourse on revelation-reason integration. The findings reveal four principal themes: (1) the epistemological complementarity of revelation and reason as articulated in classical Islamic philosophy; (2) conceptual models of knowledge integration that bridge divine guidance with rational inquiry; (3) persistent challenges in operationalizing this integration within contemporary educational and institutional contexts; and (4) the multidimensional impact of this integration on social cohesion, economic ethics, and spiritual consciousness. This study contributes to the existing literature by proposing a systematic integrative framework that positions revelation and reason not as competing epistemic authorities but as synergistic sources of knowledge essential for addressing contemporary challenges of secularism, materialism, and moral fragmentation.</p>
<hr/> <p>To cite this article: Azmi, N. N. et al. (2025). The Relationship Between Revelation and Reason in Islam. Sahaja: Journal of Sharia and Humanities</p> <hr/>	

A. Introduction

The relationship between revelation (wahy) and reason ('aql) constitutes one of the most enduring and consequential questions in Islamic intellectual history (El-Tobgui, 2020; Turner, 2022). This discourse is not merely an abstract philosophical exercise; it fundamentally shapes how Muslims construct knowledge, interpret reality, and navigate the complexities of contemporary life. In an era characterized by rapid globalization, the proliferation of secular epistemologies, and the fragmentation of moral frameworks, the question of how divine guidance and human rational capacity interact has acquired renewed urgency (Nasr, 2010; Sardar, 2011). The Qur'an itself contains over 750 verses that explicitly encourage reflection, contemplation, and the use of intellect, deploying terms such as ta'qilun (reasoning), yatafakkarun (reflecting), and yatadabbarun (pondering), thereby establishing reason as an integral component of the Islamic epistemic framework (Gwynne, 2004; Shah, 2021).

*Corresponding Author:

Author, University/Affiliation, COUNTRY, Email: sahaja@darunnajah.ac.id

Copyright ©2025 Sahaja: Journal Sharia and Humanities

The existing scholarly literature on this topic is extensive yet characterized by significant gaps and inconsistencies. Classical scholars such as Ibn Rushd (1126–1198 CE) championed the principle of *ittisal* (connectivity) between philosophy and religion, arguing that both constitute complementary pathways to a single truth (Leaman, 2022; Taylor, 2018). In contrast, al-Ghazali (1058–1111 CE) prioritized revelation over speculative reason in his *Tahafut al-Falasifah*, a position that profoundly shaped subsequent Sunni theological discourse (Griffel, 2021; Treiger, 2022). Ibn Taymiyyah (1263–1328 CE) offered yet another framework, proposing a triadic epistemological structure of *naql* (transmitted knowledge), *‘aql* (reason), and *fitrah* (innate disposition), which has recently been reappraised as a precursor to contemporary Reformed Epistemology (Turner, 2022; El-Tobgui, 2020). Despite these rich intellectual traditions, contemporary scholarship remains fragmented: most studies examine individual thinkers in isolation without synthesizing their contributions into a coherent integrative model (Hidayat, 2024; Lalahwa et al., 2024). Furthermore, while the Islamization of knowledge project, pioneered by al-Faruqi and al-Attas, sought to reconcile Islamic epistemology with modern scientific paradigms, critical assessments reveal that its implementation has often been superficial or symbolically rather than substantively integrative (Malkawi, 2014; Ssekamanya et al., 2021).

The novelty of this study lies in its systematic attempt to bridge this scholarly fragmentation. While previous research has predominantly focused on either historical-philosophical analysis of individual thinkers or macro-level critiques of the Islamization project, few studies have attempted to construct a comprehensive integrative framework that synthesizes classical Islamic philosophical positions with contemporary epistemological challenges. This study addresses this gap by developing a thematic synthesis that maps the convergences and divergences among major Islamic epistemological positions on revelation-reason integration, identifies the operational challenges of implementing such integration in modern educational and institutional contexts, and traces the multidimensional impacts of this integration across social, economic, and spiritual domains.

The specific objectives of this study are threefold: first, to critically analyze the epistemological models of revelation-reason integration as articulated by classical Islamic thinkers, with particular attention to their points of convergence and divergence; second, to examine the persistent challenges and critiques that hinder the practical realization of this integration in contemporary Muslim intellectual and educational life; and third, to evaluate the broader implications of revelation-reason integration for shaping the social, economic, and spiritual dimensions of the Islamic worldview. The central argument guiding this inquiry posits that revelation and reason, when properly understood within the Islamic epistemic framework, function not as competing sources of authority but as synergistic and mutually reinforcing pathways to truth, a position that carries significant implications for Islamic education reform, interdisciplinary scholarship, and the revitalization of Islamic intellectual culture in the contemporary world.

B. Methods

This study employed a qualitative research design grounded in library research methodology, utilizing content analysis as the primary analytical technique. The selection of this methodological approach was informed by the nature of the research questions, which required systematic Engagement with textual sources rather than empirical data collection from human subjects. Library-based content analysis is widely recognized as a rigorous method for investigating theoretical, philosophical, and doctrinal questions in the humanities and Islamic studies (Krippendorff, 2018; Schreier, 2024; Bowen, 2009).

Data Sources.

The data for this study were drawn from three categories of sources. Primary sources consisted of the Qur’an and authenticated Hadith collections, which serve as the foundational texts of Islamic epistemology. Secondary sources included classical Islamic philosophical and theological works, notably Ibn Rushd’s *Fasl al-Maqal* and *Tahafut al-Tahafut*, al-Ghazali’s *Ihya’ ‘Ulum al-Din* and *Tahafut al-Falasifah*, Ibn Taymiyyah’s *Dar’ Ta’arud al-‘Aql wa al-Naql*, and al-Attas’s *Prolegomena to*

The Relationship Between Revelation and Reason in Islam: An Integrative Framework for Knowledge Construction and Worldview Formation

the Metaphysics of Islam. Tertiary sources comprised peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2020 and 2026, sourced primarily from the Scopus database, supplemented by selected SINTA-indexed national journals. A total of 67 sources were initially identified, of which 48 met the inclusion criteria of relevance, recency (2020–2026 for journal articles), and publication in indexed journals.

Analytical Procedure

The analytical process followed three sequential stages consistent with thematic content analysis as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2021). In the first stage (open coding), relevant passages from the primary and secondary sources were identified and assigned initial descriptive codes. In the second stage (axial coding), these initial codes were organized into broader thematic categories by identifying relationships among the codes, resulting in four principal thematic clusters. In the third stage (selective coding), the thematic clusters were refined and integrated into a coherent analytical framework. To enhance the trustworthiness of the analysis, triangulation was achieved through the use of multiple source types, and an audit trail was maintained throughout the coding process (Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Creswell & Poth, 2024).

Research Scope and Limitations

This study focused specifically on Sunni Islamic epistemological traditions, with primary attention to the Ash'arite, Maturidite, and philosophical (*falsafa*) schools of thought. Shi'ite, Sufi, and heterodox perspectives were referenced where relevant, but were not the primary focus. The study acknowledges the inherent limitation of library research in that it relies on textual interpretation rather than empirical verification.

C. Result

The thematic analysis of the collected sources yielded four principal themes, each comprising multiple sub-themes. These findings are presented below in a structured manner, supported by evidence from the analyzed texts.

Epistemological Complementarity of Revelation and Reason in Classical Islamic Philosophy

The analysis revealed a broad consensus among classical Islamic thinkers that revelation and reason constitute complementary rather than contradictory sources of knowledge. However, the specific models of their interaction differed significantly. Ibn Rushd's epistemological framework, as articulated in *Fasl al-Maqal*, posits the principle of *ittisal* (connectivity), asserting that philosophical inquiry and religious teaching share the same objective, the attainment of truth, and differ only in their methodological approaches. Ibn Rushd classified methods of knowing into three categories: rhetorical (*khitabi*), dialectical (*jadali*), and demonstrative (*burhani*), with the demonstrative method representing the highest form of rational inquiry (Leaman, 2022; Adamson, 2023).

In contrast, al-Ghazali's position, particularly as expressed in *Ihya' Ulum al-Din*, emphasized the primacy of revelation while acknowledging the instrumental necessity of reason. Al-Ghazali famously argued that reason without revelation risks deviation, while revelation without reason cannot be fully comprehended (Griffel, 2021; Treiger, 2022). Ibn Taymiyyah further advanced the discourse by introducing *fitrah* (innate disposition) as a third epistemological pillar alongside *naql* and *'aql*. His framework argued that genuine rational conclusions can never truly contradict authentic revelation, and that apparent contradictions arise from errors in either transmission or reasoning (El-Tobgui, 2020; Turner, 2023).

The synthesis of these positions reveals a spectrum of epistemological models ranging from Ibn Rushd's egalitarian complementarity (where reason and revelation operate as coequal pathways) to al-Ghazali's hierarchical complementarity (where revelation holds primacy with reason serving an instrumental role) to Ibn Taymiyyah's triadic integration (where *naql*, *'aql*, and *fitrah* converge toward a unified truth). Despite their differences, all three frameworks reject the notion of an irreconcilable conflict between revelation and reason (Malkawi, 2014; Rayan, 2011).

Conceptual Models of Knowledge Integration and Worldview Formation

The analysis identified several conceptual models through which revelation-reason integration contributes to the formation of a distinctively Islamic worldview. Al-Attas's concept of the Islamic worldview (*ru'yat al-Islam lil-wujud*) provides the most systematic framework. Al-Attas argues that the Islamic worldview is fundamentally different from Western secular worldviews in that it is grounded in revelation as the ultimate source of knowledge while employing reason as the interpretive mechanism (Wan Daud, 2020; Hashim & Ssekamanya, 2023).

Al-Faruqi's Islamization of Knowledge project represents another significant model, proposing a systematic methodology for integrating modern scientific disciplines with Islamic epistemological principles. This project identifies the epistemological crisis of the Muslim ummah as rooted in the bifurcation of knowledge into religious and secular categories a dichotomy that al-Faruqi argued is foreign to the Islamic intellectual tradition (Malkawi, 2014; Ssekamanya et al., 2021). The Qur'anic framework itself provides the foundational model of integration, with numerous verses commanding reflection upon natural phenomena as a pathway to understanding divine truth (Gwynne, 2004; Golshani, 2021).

These models share several common features: the affirmation of *tawhid* (divine unity) as the organizing principle of all knowledge; the rejection of the sacred-secular dichotomy; the recognition of multiple valid sources of knowledge arranged in a hierarchical but complementary relationship; and the emphasis on ethical purpose as an integral dimension of knowledge acquisition (Bakar, 2022; Acikgenc, 2023; Nasr, 2010).

Challenges in Operationalizing Revelation-Reason Integration

Despite the theoretical robustness of the integration framework, the analysis identified several persistent challenges in its practical implementation. The first challenge concerns the competency gap: meaningful integration requires scholars with deep expertise in both Islamic sciences and modern academic disciplines, yet such dual expertise remains rare (Hassan, 2023; Lubis et al., 2022). Most institutions that claim an integrative paradigm tend to practice a superficial form of integration without achieving genuine epistemological synthesis (Ssekamanya et al., 2021; Abdallah et al., 2021).

The second challenge stems from the positivist critique, which argues that introducing religious considerations into scientific inquiry compromises objectivity and empirical rigor (Ahmad, 2022; Muthohar, 2020). However, the analysis revealed that this critique often rests on a misunderstanding of the Islamic integration model, which does not subordinate empirical methodology to doctrinal authority but rather positions revelation as providing the axiological framework within which scientific inquiry operates.

The third challenge arises from two opposing directions: traditional religious scholars who view modern scientific methodologies as a threat to religious knowledge, and secular academics who perceive religious principles in academic discourse as an unwarranted intrusion (Hashim & Ssekamanya, 2023; Tan, 2024).

Multidimensional Impact on Social, Economic, and Spiritual Life

The analysis revealed that the integration of revelation and reason produces significant impacts across three interconnected domains. In the social domain, the Qur'anic emphasis on communal deliberation (*shura*), justice (*'adl*), and mutual assistance (*ta'awun*), when interpreted through rational analysis, generates principles for social organization that balance individual rights with collective responsibilities (Auda, 2021; Ramadan, 2020; Al-Raysuni, 2023).

In the economic domain, the integration framework has produced tangible institutional innovations, including Islamic banking, *sukuk*, and *waqf*-based social enterprises. Shari'ah principles derived from revelation provide the normative framework, while rational economic analysis provides the operational mechanisms (Antonio, 2020; Asutay, 2023; Hassan & Aliyu, 2022).

In the spiritual domain, the integration cultivates what al-Ghazali termed *ma'rifah*, a deep, experiential knowledge of the divine that transcends mere intellectual assent. Al-Attas characterized this as *adab*, the discipline of recognizing and acknowledging the proper place of things, which

The Relationship Between Revelation and Reason in Islam: An Integrative Framework for Knowledge Construction and Worldview Formation

constitutes the ultimate aim of the Islamic educational project (Wan Daud, 2020; Nasr, 2010; Zarkasyi, 2022).

D. Discussion

The findings of this study confirm and extend the proposition that revelation and reason in Islamic epistemology function as synergistic rather than competing sources of knowledge. The identification of three distinct yet convergent models of revelation-reason integration, Ibn Rushd's egalitarian complementarity, al-Ghazali's hierarchical complementarity, and Ibn 'Taymiyyah's triadic integration, provides a more nuanced understanding than the binary frameworks that have dominated much of the existing literature. Previous studies have tended to frame the discourse in terms of a simple opposition between rationalists and traditionalists (Griffel, 2021) or to treat individual thinkers in isolation. The present study's finding that all three models share a fundamental rejection of the reason-revelation dichotomy suggests that this rejection constitutes a defining feature of mainstream Sunni Islamic epistemology. This aligns with recent scholarship by El-Tobgui (2020) and Turner (2023).

The conceptual models identified in Theme 2 carry significant implications for contemporary Islamic education. The persistent gap between the theoretical ideal and practical realization, as documented in Theme 3, points to a systemic challenge that requires structural rather than cosmetic solutions. This study contributes to addressing this lacuna by proposing that effective integration must operate at three levels simultaneously: the epistemological level (establishing tawhid as the organizing principle of curriculum design), the methodological level (developing pedagogical approaches that genuinely synthesize Islamic and modern scientific modes of inquiry), and the institutional level (creating governance structures that support integrative scholarship). This three-level model extends the work of Malkawi (2014) and Hashim and Ssekamanya (2023).

The multidimensional impacts documented in Theme 4 demonstrate that revelation-reason integration has concrete implications for social organization, economic innovation, and spiritual development. The success of Islamic economic instruments such as sukuk and Islamic banking represents a compelling case study (Asutay, 2023; Hassan & Aliyu, 2022). Similarly, the social cohesion outcomes provide empirical support for the proposition that revelation-reason integration contributes to the common good (Auda, 2021; Ramadan, 2020). These findings challenge the secularist assumption that religious epistemologies are inherently incompatible with modern institutional life.

This study proposes an integrative framework that positions the revelation-reason relationship along three axes: epistemological (concerning the sources and validation of knowledge), methodological (concerning the procedures and tools of inquiry), and axiological (concerning the purposes and ethical commitments that guide knowledge production). This triaxial framework offers a more comprehensive and operationalizable model than the predominantly epistemological focus of previous studies.

The implications for the broader fields of philosophy of education and epistemology are significant. In an era when Western secular epistemology faces its own crisis of legitimacy, manifested in the post-truth phenomenon, the replication crisis in the social sciences, and the growing disconnect between scientific advancement and ethical reflection (Bakar, 2022; Acikgenc, 2023), the Islamic integrative model offers a constructive alternative. This model does not reject empirical science or rational inquiry but rather situates them within a comprehensive value framework that addresses the ethical and existential dimensions of knowledge production (Douglas, 2020).

E. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the relationship between revelation and reason in Islamic epistemology is characterized by fundamental complementarity rather than inherent conflict. Through systematic thematic analysis of classical and contemporary sources, the study identified four principal themes: epistemological complementarity, conceptual models of integration, implementation challenges, and multidimensional impacts that collectively map the landscape of revelation-reason integration in Islamic thought. The proposed triaxial integrative framework (epistemological,

methodological, axiological) represents a theoretical contribution that advances beyond existing models.

The practical implications of this study are directed toward three constituencies. For Islamic educational institutions, the findings suggest that curriculum reform should pursue integration at the epistemological, methodological, and institutional levels simultaneously. For scholars of Islamic philosophy and theology, the identification of structural convergences across ostensibly divergent schools of thought opens new avenues for comparative and synthetic research. For policymakers, the study demonstrates that Islamic epistemology offers constructive frameworks for addressing contemporary challenges of moral fragmentation and the crisis of meaning.

Future research should conduct empirical studies of integration practices in Islamic educational institutions, extend the analysis to include Shi'ite, Sufi, and non-mainstream epistemological traditions, and develop quantitative instruments for measuring the effectiveness of revelation-reason integration in educational outcomes. Longitudinal studies tracking the impact of integrative curricula on students' critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and spiritual development would be particularly valuable.

F. References

- Abdallah, S. S., Hussien, S., & Hisham, N. (2021). Islamization of knowledge: A comparative analysis of the frameworks and the challenges. *Intellectual Discourse*, 29(1), 109–132.
- Acikgenc, A. (2023). *Islamic scientific tradition in history: Principles and methodology*. Springer.
- Adamson, P. (2023). *Philosophy in the Islamic world: A very short introduction* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Ahmad, K. (2022). Islam and the challenge of modern science: Between integration and polarization. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, 12(1), 1–18.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (2001). *Prolegomena to the metaphysics of Islam*. ISTAC.
- Al-Raysuni, A. (2023). *Imam al-Shatibi's theory of the higher objectives and intents of Islamic law* (2nd ed.). IIIT.
- Antonio, M. S. (2020). *Bank Syariah: Dari teori ke praktik* (Rev. ed.). Gema Insani Press.
- Asutay, M. (2023). *Islamic moral economy and finance: Theory, principles, and practice*. Edward Elgar.
- Auda, J. (2021). *Maqasid al-Shariah: A beginner's guide* (2nd ed.). IIIT.
- Bakar, O. (2022). *Classification of knowledge in Islam* (Rev. ed.). Islamic Book Trust.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2024). *Qualitative inquiry and research design* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Douglas, H. (2020). Values in science. In *The Routledge companion to philosophy of science* (3rd ed., pp. 162–175). Routledge.
- El-Tobgui, C. S. (2020). *Ibn Taymiyya on reason and revelation*. Brill.
- Golshani, M. (2021). *The Holy Qur'an and the sciences of nature* (Rev. ed.). IPO.
- Griffel, F. (2021). *Al-Ghazali's philosophical theology* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Gwynne, R. W. (2004). *Logic, rhetoric, and legal reasoning in the Qur'an*. Routledge.
- Hashim, R., & Ssekamanya, S. A. (2023). Integration of Islamically revealed knowledge in the university curriculum. *Intellectual Discourse*, 31(1), 5–30.
- Hassan, A., & Aliyu, S. (2022). The integration of ethical values in Islamic finance. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 13(4), 612–630.
- Hassan, M. K. (2023). Islamic education in the 21st century. In *Handbook of Islamic education* (pp. 45–68). Springer.
- Hidayat, R. (2024). Reconsidering between reason and revelation in Islamic legal philosophy. *Journal of Islamic Philosophy*, 18(2), 145–168.
- Iqbal, M. (2013). *The reconstruction of religious thought in Islam* (Rev. ed.). Stanford University Press.
- Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Lalahwa, I. F., Gunawan, A., & Muhajir, M. (2024). The relationship between religion and reason in Islamic education philosophy. *Jurnal Studi Islam*, 3, 111–119.
- Leaman, O. (2022). *An introduction to classical Islamic philosophy* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.

The Relationship Between Revelation and Reason in Islam: An Integrative Framework for Knowledge Construction and Worldview Formation

- Lubis, M. A., Lampoh, A. A., & Wekke, I. S. (2022). Integration of sciences in Islamic higher education. *IJERE*, 11(1), 238–248.
- Malkawi, F. H. (2014). Epistemological integration: Essentials of an Islamic methodology. IIT.
- Muthohar, A. (2020). Kritik epistemologis terhadap Islamisasi ilmu pengetahuan. *Al-Tahrir*, 20(1), 1–24.
- Nasr, S. H. (2010). *Islam in the modern world* (Rev. ed.). HarperOne.
- Ramadan, T. (2020). *The quest for meaning: Developing a philosophy of pluralism*. Penguin.
- Rayan, S. (2011). Ibn Taymiyyah's criticism of the logical method. *Islamic Studies*, 50(2), 173–196.
- Sardar, Z. (2011). *Reading the Qur'an*. Oxford University Press.
- Schreier, M. (2024). *Qualitative content analysis in practice* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Shah, Z. A. (2021). *Islam's reformation of Christianity*. Claritis Books.
- Ssekamanya, S. A., Hussien, S., & Ismail, N. A. H. (2021). Integration of Islamic revealed knowledge in the humanities. *Intellectual Discourse*, 29(2), 233–258.
- Tan, C. (2024). *Islamic education: History, culture, and politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Taylor, R. C. (2018). Averroes' philosophical methodology. In *Averroes and the Aristotelian tradition* (pp. 85–110). Brill.
- Treiger, A. (2022). *Inspired knowledge in Islamic thought* (Rev. ed.). Routledge.
- Turner, J. B. (2022). Ibn Taymiyya on theistic signs and knowledge of God. *Religious Studies*, 58(4), 583–597.
- Turner, J. B. (2023). Ibn Taymiyya's common-sense philosophy. In *Pluralizing philosophy's past* (pp. 197–212). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wan Daud, W. M. N. (2020). *The educational philosophy and practice of Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas* (2nd ed.). ISTAC.
- Zarkasyi, H. F. (2022). *Akal dan wahyu dalam pandangan Ibn Rusyd dan Ibn Taymiyah*. Unida Gontor Press.